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Humans as Cognitive Miser:
  - Shortcuts through cues
  - Stereotypes
  - In-groups & Out-groups
The Experiment

- The survey

- Hypotheses:
  - 1: Voters will perceive male candidates as more qualified than female candidates.
  - 2: Voters will perceive white candidates as more qualified than African American candidates.
# Results

Table 1: Difference of Means for Race and Gender

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Candidate Ideology</th>
<th>Candidate Competency</th>
<th>Candidate Not Trustworthy</th>
<th>Candidate Approachability</th>
<th>Candidate Not Likeable</th>
<th>Candidate Deserves Position</th>
<th>Participant’s Likelihood Vote for Candidate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>African American Candidate</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>3.7*</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White Candidate</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>4.2*</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female Candidate</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.6*</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male Candidate</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>4.0*</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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